Applicant(s) Application No. 10/083,533 HASHIMOTO ET AL.

interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thao X Le	2814
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Thao X Le</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Lau.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 April 2004.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 40.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Yoo.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. ♀	g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ I	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Looked the drawing in the would overcome the rejection based on the Applicant Admits</u>	ne specification and figures of	Yoo. The amended claims
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend	dments which the examiner ag	greed would render the claims

allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required